

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,064	05/21/2001		Robert Wesley Bossemeyer JR.	8285/423	9732
757	7590	09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
BRINKS H	IOFER G	ILSON & LIONE	JUNG, MIN		
P.O. BOX 1 CHICAGO,		-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ह				2663	
. **			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •		I A - D - D - D - D - D - D - D - D - D -	Applicant(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/863,064	BOSSEMEYER ET AL.				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Min Jung	2663				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF T  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may lift the period for reply specified about If NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or existing the set of existing the set of the	"HIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 siling date of this communication. ve is less than thirty (30) days, a reply bove, the maximum statutory period w tended period for reply will, by statute, ter than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON date of this communication, even if timely file	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 21 M	<u>ay 2001</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL		action is non-final.					
3) Since this application							
closed in accordance	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-48</u> is/are	e pending in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>28-48</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/ard	· ·						
8) Claim(s) are s		election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is o	biected to by the Examine	•					
•	•	epted or b)  objected to by the	Evaminer				
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S					
·		on is required if the drawing(s) is o	• •				
		aminer. Note the attached Office	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	•						
<u>-</u>		neineituumdan 25 H.C.C. \$ 440/	a) (d) as (5)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ∈  1. ☐ Certified copie	c) None of: s of the priority documents	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) s have been received. s have been received in Applica					
= '-		· ·					
·	m the International Bureau	ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage				
* See the attached deta	iled Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receiv	/ed.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PT		4) Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent		Paper No(s)/Mail [					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4, 5, 9</u>.</li> </ol>	nt(s) (P+O-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/863,064

Art Unit: 2663

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 28-48 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 and 15-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,285,671. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the mere differences between the patent and the present application are that the terms "internet service provider", "internet facsimile data", "ATM LAN", and "ATM switch" in the patent have been replaced with the terms "packet data network gateway", "packetized facsimile data", "packet data LAN", and "packet data switch", respectively. By the usage of the present terms, the scope of the claims has been broadened. However, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to adopt any kind of packet network when teaching is there for Internet, because Internet usually includes more than one packet network, and the terms are

Art Unit: 2663

often used interchangeably, and also because Internet service provider is one type of packet data network gateways. Same reasoning applies to the terms "ATM LAN" and "ATM switch": ATM is a specific type of packet network, and it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the recited invention to include any type of packet network and packet switch.

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Sassin et al. Patent, the Burkitt patent, and the Rieley et al. patent are cited for further references.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-3127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 703-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/863,064

Art Unit: 2663

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ

September 20, 2004

Min Jung

Primary Examiner